

**MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL  
CITY OF WASHINGTON, FRANKLIN COUNTY, MISSOURI  
April 18, 2022**

**INTRODUCTORY ITEMS:**

Oath of Office – Newly Elected Officials: Mark Piontek, City Attorney; Allan Behr, Ward 1 Councilman; Mark Hidritch, Ward 2 Councilman; Chad Briggs, Ward 3 Councilman; Michael Coulter, Ward 4 Councilman.

The Special Meeting of the City of Washington, Missouri, City Council was held on Monday, April 18, 2022, at 7:40 p.m. in the Council Chamber. Mayor Doug Hagedorn opened the meeting with roll call and Pledge of Allegiance.

<b>Mayor:</b>	Doug Hagedorn	Present
<b>Council Members: Ward I</b>	Al Behr	Present
	Duane Reed	Present
<b>Ward II</b>	Mark Hidritch	Present
	Mark Wessels	Present
<b>Ward III</b>	Chad Briggs	Present
	Jeff Patke	Present
<b>Ward IV</b>	Mike Coulter	Present
	Joe Holtmeier	Present
<b>Also Present:</b>	City Attorney	Mark Piontek
	City Administrator	Darren Lamb
	City Clerk	Sherri Klekamp
	Police Chief	Ed Menefee
	Fire Chief	Tim Frankenberg
	Public Works Director	John Nilges
	Economic Development Director	Sal Maniaci
	Street Superintendent	Tony Bonastia
	Parks Director	Wayne Dunker
	Library Director	Nelson Appell

Originals and/or copies of agenda items of the meeting, including recorded votes are available on record in the office of the City Clerk. Each ordinance is read a minimum of twice by title, unless otherwise noted.

**Approval and Adjustment of Agenda including Consent Agenda:**

- \* Collector’s Treasurer’s Report Summary – November 2021
- \* Investment Report – November 2021
- \* Final Payment Request – American Electric & Data Inc. – Lions Lake Pavilions
- \* Final Payment Request – Landscape Structures – Phoenix Park Playground
- \* Liquor License Renewals

- \* Taxicab Business License Renewals
- \* Rabies Clinic – May 12 & 19, 2022
- \* Street Closure Request – May 7, 2022 Firefighters of MO Convention Parade
- \* Item 3c Public Hearing for Planned Development District; Item 3d Planned Development District Ordinance
- \* Item 8a Preliminary Plat Approval for Highland Meadows Plat 6; Item 8b Ordinance for Final Plat Approval for Highland Meadows Plat 6; Item 8c Preliminary Plat Approval for Highland Meadows Plat 7

A motion to accept and approve the agenda including the consent agenda accordingly made by Councilmember Patke, seconded by Councilmember Holtmeier, passed without dissent.

**PRIORITY ITEMS:**

**City Council Elections:**

- \* Election of Mayor Pro Tem  
 Councilmember Holtmeier nominated Councilmember Patke, seconded by Councilmember Briggs.  
 Councilmember Reed nominated Councilmember Hidritch, seconded by Councilmember Wessels.  
 With no further nominations the motion to elect Jeff Patke as Mayor Pro Tem passed on the following 5 to 4 roll call vote; Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-nay, Hidritch-nay, Holtmeier-aye, Patke-aye, Reed-nay, Wessels-nay, Hagedorn-aye.  
 The motion to elect Mark Hidritch as Mayor Pro Tem died.
- \* Election of Council Member to P&Z Commission  
 Councilmember Reed nominated Councilmember Hidritch, seconded by Councilmember Patke.  
 Councilmember Holtmeier nominated Councilmember Wessels, motion died.  
 With no further nominations the motion to elect Mark Hidritch to the Planning and Zoning Commission passed on the following 8-0 roll call vote; Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-aye, Hidritch-aye, Holtmeier-aye, Patke-aye, Reed-aye, Wessels-aye.
- \* Election of Council Member to 353 Redevelopment Corporation  
 Councilmember Hidritch nominated Councilmember Behr, seconded by Councilmember Reed.  
 With no further nominations the motion to elect Al Behr to the 353 Corporation passed on the following 8-0 roll call vote; Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-aye, Hidritch-aye, Holtmeier-aye, Patke-aye, Reed-aye, Wessels-aye.
- \* Election of Board of Health  
 Councilmember Patke nominated Councilmember Behr, Councilmember Hidritch, Councilmember Briggs and Councilmember Coulter, seconded by Councilmember Holtmeier.  
 With no further nominations the motion to elect Al Behr, Mark Hidritch, Chad Briggs and Mike Coulter to the Board of Health passed on the following 8-0 roll call vote; Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-aye, Hidritch-aye, Holtmeier-aye, Patke-aye, Reed-aye, Wessels-aye.

**Mayor’s Presentations, Appointments & Reappointments:**

\* **Police Department Reappointments**

*April 18, 2022*

*City Council*

*City of Washington*

*Washington, Missouri*

*Dear Council Members:*

*I herewith submit for your approval the following for reappointment to the Police Department:*

		<i>TERM</i>
<i>NAME</i>	<i>APPOINTED</i>	<i>EXPIRES</i>
<i>Daniel Day</i>	<i>April 23, 2022</i>	<i>April 23, 2023</i>
<i>Police Officer</i>		
<i>Doug Tollison</i>	<i>May 03, 2022</i>	<i>May 03, 2023</i>
<i>Police Officer</i>		

*Respectfully Submitted,*

*James D. Hagedorn*

*Mayor*

A motion to accept and approve the reappointments made by Councilmember Holtmeier, seconded by Councilmember Hidritch, passed without dissent.

**PUBLIC HEARINGS**

\* **Special Use Permit – 1807 East Fifth Street**

*April 12, 2022*

*Mayor & City Council*

*City of Washington*

*Washington, MO 63090*

*RE: File No. 22-0401-Special Use Permit-1807 E. Fifth Street-Indoor Shooting Range*

*Mayor & City Council*

*At their regularly scheduled meeting of the Planning and Zoning Commission held on April 11, 2022 the Commission voted to recommend approval of the above Special Use Permit request with a unanimous vote.*

*Sincerely,*

*Thomas R. Holdmeier*

*Commission Chairman*

**Maniaci:** Good Evening Council. On your agenda tonight is a request for a Special Use Permit for an Indoor Shooting Range at 1807 East Fifth Street. This is formally Nick’s Gun and Pawn, if your familiar with it just east of former Patke’s here on Fifth Street. The property is zoned C-2 General Commercial.

Half of you will remember in 2019, we actually had a request on this same property for an Indoor Shooting Range. At that time, they were actually proposing to do an expansion and then put in a shooting range, at that time it did go to Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council and passed both boards.

Our City Code requires all Special Use Permits to receive occupancy and commence use within one year of approval or else they expire. In this case, they never did get the occupancy

and commence use so it expired. They mentioned at the Planning and Zoning meeting last week that the shortage in ammunition shorted that out. It wasn't worth it to open a shooting range if they couldn't find ammunition, so that pushed it back further than a year. That's why they're back here tonight.

The only thing that changed, this application, they're actually not proposing an expansion at this time. They are going to be rehabbing the existing building and putting all of the lanes in the existing building.

It's the same recommendation as it was in 2019, this corridor Fifth Street varies from commercial to multi-family to actually light industrial. Right across the street here, you have light industrial. They have to show on the building permit that they're going to have sufficient equipment in the building to catch all of the bullets, and then our noise ordinance is in affect for all commercial uses that they have to make sure that it's all contained inside the building. We don't see any detriment to the surrounding properties.

Planning and Zoning voted again last week on this unanimously in favor and staff also recommends approval.

**Patke:** We have those such regulations in our code?

**Maniaci:** It's not that specific to a shooting range. We have a noise ordinance that for commercial uses that all commercial uses have to meet.

**Patke:** Right, so the way they build it they, as long as the noise is contained, they don't have to have a special wall inside or anything like that? As long as the noise is contained?

**Maniaci:** The Building Department may have for occupancy. They did have on their application that they were going to have reinforced walls to catch the bullets.

**Patke:** We talked about that in 2019, but we never went forward with the final so we never knew how it was built. Did we add anything in our code for that?

**Nilges:** As a specific detail of the building code, I wouldn't know that off the top of my head, anything specific to shooting ranges. I do not think there is a code section for that in the building code.

**Maniaci:** That would be reviewed prior to occupancy and not on the zoning. Unless there is something that, like in the fire code, the Fire Chief has jurisdiction to have extra requirements on a case-by-case basis. I don't know if the Building Department can have that prior occupancy as well.

**Nilges:** I mean authority and jurisdiction has the ability to basically put in a requirement on there that they need to, but I can't think of a specific code section for shooting ranges. That being said, I haven't looked for one. We will review that once it comes in.

**Wessels:** Sal, in the notes there, it seems to me that there was something in there about steel plates and concrete, etc. and also that it was going to comply with similar facilities used by Police Departments and others. I would think maybe when they bring forth specific plans, that we could some way make sure that it will indeed be safe.

**Maniaci:** Correct, that was volunteered by the applicant. This was for the zoning use, when it comes time for the actual occupancy, the Building Department reviews all that to make sure it meets safety protocols.

**Patke:** Okay.

**Wessels:** Thank you.

**Hidritch:** And again, for everybody, there was no residents objected to this at all. Nobody showed, nobody was objected to this.

**Patke:** At Planning and Zoning Mark, you're saying?

**Hidritch:** Yes, as last time when this thing got brought up in 19, that time there was nobody. At Planning and Zoning there was nobody.

**Lamb:** I think there were a few people at the previous one.

**Nilges:** Yeah, at the last one.

**Hidritch:** Oh, not that I can remember.

**Hagedorn:** This will be the first one of its kind in town, won't it?

**Maniaci:** Correct, we do not have this use currently. Any other questions for me?

**Hagedorn:** Any of questions of Sal you guys?

**Maniaci:** Thank you.

**Hagedorn:** Okay. I'll entertain a motion.

**Lamb:** You've got to open it up for Public Hearing. Go ahead and ask anyone from the crowd.

**Hagedorn:** Public Comments. If anyone is interested in commenting, please come forward.

**Dr. J. Freeman:** Good Evening Council. My name is Dr. J. Freeman; I'm the owner of Titan Hill Armory formally Nick's Gun & Pawn. I simply want to make myself available to answer any questions that you may have.

**Hagedorn:** Thank you.

**Wessels:** That's what my reference was. You will butt a residential neighborhood...

**Dr. J. Freeman:** Correct.

**Wessels:** I don't know what kinds of weapons will be used in the shooting range, but I'm obviously concerned that we don't have something that's able to go through a wall into a neighbor's yard and so forth.

**Dr. J. Freeman:** Right.

**Wessels:** That's why I'm saying, when it comes time to actually do it, be it our buildings, Police Department, whoever, that someone is able to assure that kind of thing won't happen.

**Dr. J. Freeman:** Certainly, and safety is the number one concern. The construction of the range has a couple of different mechanisms to stop any bullets in the back. First and foremost is over a thousand pounds of recycled rubber. That's actually the primary catch followed by a AR500 Steel that's bullet resistant and concrete around it. The concrete actually surrounds the entire range area that will prevent rickshays, random shots, etc. and direct them down range to the bullet traps.

**Patke:** So you know everything we're talking about, we just don't have it in the City Code to be specific about it

**Dr. J. Freeman:** Right.

**Patke:** Let it be a learning curve through the process, that's all I'm asking. Yeah, that's fine.

**Nilges:** I've never permitted issued in Engineering before so it will take a little bit of effort to get caught up to that, but...

**Dr. J. Freeman:** Right.

**Nilges:** Any commercial development in town actually requires signed and sealed engineering plans, architect plans so we will be able to work through these things.

**Dr. J. Freeman:** Right.

**Patke:** *Inaudible*...the questions of safety concerns, no doubt so thank you.

**Dr. J. Freeman:** Absolutely.

**Patke:** I appreciate you being here.

**Dr. J. Freeman:** And one of the comments that was made was the reference in the Zoning and Planning Committee of about law enforcement utilization and similarity there. The equipment that we are using is from a company called Meggitt, they actually provide all of the mobile portable shooting ranges to the FBI and several other law enforcement agencies. It's industrial strength in design for safety as the number one concern.

**Patke:** Thank you.

**Wessels:** Thank you.

**Dr. J. Freeman:** Any other questions? Thank you.

**Hagedorn:** Any other comments you guys or from the public? Okay.

With no further discussion, a motion to accept this item into the minutes made by Councilmember Patke, seconded by Councilmember Holtmeier, passed without dissent.

**Bill No. 22-12565, Ordinance No. 22-13521, an ordinance granting a Special Use Permit at 1807 East Fifth Street for an Indoor Shooting Range in the City of Washington, Franklin County, Missouri.**

The ordinance was introduced by Councilmember Holtmeier.

With no further discussion, the ordinance was read a second time and approved on the following vote; Wessels-aye, Reed-aye, Patke-aye, Hidritch-aye, Holtmeier-aye, Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-aye.

\* Planned Residential Code Amendment

*April 18, 2022*

*Honorable Mayor and City Council*

*City of Washington*

*Washington, MO 63090*

*RE: Planned Residential Code Amendment*

*Dear Mayor and City Council Members:*

*The following ordinance, regarding the PDR District, has been reviewed and approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission. The goal of the amendment is meant to simplify the density requirements in the Planned Districts. The current code contradicts the intent of the district to allow for flexible densities by stating the development must match an underlying zoning district density. The intent is for the developer to propose a density and each project is reviewed and approved separately based on its fit into the surrounding area.*

*Please let me know if you have any questions.*

*Respectfully submitted,*

*Sal Maniaci, AICP*

*Community and Economic Development Director*

**Hagedorn:** Okay Sal, go ahead.

**Maniaci:** Thank you. John, if you don't mind scrolling up, I don't have a PowerPoint, I actually have what was in the packet.

**Nilges:** All the way to the top?

**Maniaci:** Yes, please.

**Maniaci:** This was something that we discussed internally with staff a few months ago, and then brought to Planning and Zoning Commission at their last meeting.

Currently, we have what's known as PD Districts, Planned Districts and they can go into three categories: PDR for Planned Residential, PDC for Planned Commercial or PDM for Planned Mix. The intent of that section of code is to be able to allow a developer or property owner to ask for a very specific zoned district where essentially, when it's a Planned District, when they ask for the reason of zoning, they have to have their engineered and civil design plans all done up front. Staff, Planning and Zoning and City Council and the Public have the right then to comment on that and it can be amended and then that plan is actually adopted with the zoning.

The reason for that and the intent of that section of code is for the capability of allowing case-by-case developments if there's something unique or peculiar about the site that they can't fit into a regular zoned district. It basically allows for some flexibility for a developer to propose something that's a little bit more unique that they could fit into that again, they wouldn't be asking for eight variances. They are just saying hey, this is what I'm proposing, here's the plans upfront and then it goes through the whole process. That specific plan is adopted, meaning that the zoning is not wide open, unlike a General Commercial. That property once it's ordinance is passed, it's only allowed for that use and that design of the plan.

We have a couple of examples of that. The International Shoe Factory, utilized that. Obviously, that building was up to the property lines. There was no setbacks on that, and then with having an existing three-story building, the density of that wouldn't have met our existing zoning code, so they went to Planned Residential.

Another example of that was, Locust Valley. The McBride Workforce Development. We worked with them two years ago. The way that property sloped down and the development agreement we did with them needed a certain amount of homes that they needed to get narrower lots and shallower setbacks on the front, so they had 20 foot instead of 25. With them donating the land for the trail, that scooted all of the lots up. So, just to give you a little bit of background there.

Our current code, the code that was written in 2017, our consultants PGAV, wrote this for us. We always had Planned Districts in our City Code but they kind of redefined it and actually brought in some language from other communities that they thought would maybe fit better. We've only had those two developments since this passed.

The issue that staff sees with this, is that in this Planned District, the requirements when it talks about density, it says that the developer has to pick an underlined district to set the density with. Meaning they have to pick another zoned district and have to match that density, which really contradicts the whole purpose of having flexibility because like the Shoe Factory, they could go R-3. So, if you had to pick the underlined density of R-3 to match, they wouldn't be able to build what they wanted to build. Same thing with the other development.

So, with both of those they had to ask for variances of this portion when it got approved. Darren, John and myself were sitting down and talking about this and we realized that the whole intent of this is allowing for flexibility is to be able to allow for these developments to get approved on a case-by-case basis. There is staff review, there's Planning and Zoning review and there's City Council review multiple times. It's not, they have to do a preliminary review and final review. So, there's ample time for all of us to look at this and comment if it fits into the

neighborhood that they're wanting to develop in, or if there's really a reason why they can't just go for a regular rezoned district.

So, what you see here crossed out in red, there is a lot crossed out here, on this PDR it talks about again, the density of a residential development shall be consistent with the intent of the original underlined Residential District. Well again, we don't necessarily have an underlined district. This isn't an Overlay District, it's setting up its own district to be approved on a case-by-case basis.

So, we took out this entire section on density and how they calculated and put in this paragraph that the density of a planned residential development shall be approved per the submitted plan. The Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council shall be determined if the proposed density exceeds what is necessary and appropriate for the surrounding area. Whoever fails to comply with the general standards set forth in Section G.

I want to point out that that Section G really outlines these general standards. If the project creates inadequate or unsafe situation for the neighborhood, then we have the authority to recommend denial anyway. I think it's kind of, we already have the catchall here to say hey look, this development is too dense or you have, the setbacks are too shallow or whatever it is, we have the authority to say, if it has inadequate or detriment to the surrounding property, that kind of gives us the catchall there.

That is what we are proposing to replace the density requirement with this. Again, that would just allow the same type of project we saw at the Shoe Factory and at Locust Valley. This is not necessarily opening up for a free for all; every single planned development is approved on a case-by-case basis. That allows us to review it and if it doesn't fit in, we can still make the same recommendation we would have prior.

This did go to Planning and Zoning Commission for review last week and they did vote unanimously to approve the change. I'll take any questions.

**Hagedorn:** Any other questions you guys of Sal?

**Patke:** So, you're not really looking to copycat everything else that we already have, you're just looking to streamline this to meet an individual project?

**Maniaci:** Correct, it's to allow a truly case-by-case review.

**Patke:** Okay.

**Maniaci:** Because...

**Patke:** I had it as we want to keep things the same as we did here and we do there, but this is just a case-by-case basis?

**Maniaci:** It would be really hard for me to find, to imagine a situation where someone would come in with a Planned Residential District and say they're going to pick an underlined zoning density because if they could meet that underlined zoning density, then they wouldn't be coming for a Planned District.

**Patke:** Right.

**Maniaci:** They would just be going to that zoned district. So, I'm not going to lie, when this was approved, when PGAV wrote this we just kind of said okay, you're the consultant and now after we've had two developments go through, it's really, it doesn't meet our intent of what we want.

**Nilges:** Mark, real quick, if a plan comes in, City Council has the ability to comment on that plan and then require things on that plan as well, correct?

**Piontek:** Yes, correct.

**Nilges:** I just want to make sure that's clear to everyone.

**Piontek:** Yes.

**Wessels:** I called Sal because I found the thing kind of confusing and it also looked like just a way to open up, if you don't like the way we do some things, here's how you can get around it so, I called Sal and he explained it. Pointing out that those are the only two that we have. I can remember we spent a lot of time on each of those looking at specifics...

**Maniaci:** Yes.

**Wessels:** And they, I felt a lot more comfortable knowing how it's set up.

**Maniaci:** There is, if we meet with a developer and we feel after hearing the proposal that there's, we'll let them know if there's not a reason they need to go to a Planned District for two reasons. One, if it's really trying to get around regulations, we can say look we're not going to recommend approval of this. Two, there's a lot of risk for a developer to go to a Planned District where City staff is already going into it with recommendation of denial because they have to pay for the engineering and civil plans up front before they turn in their application. That could be thousands of dollars for their application when they know that they're going to get a recommendation denial upfront.

So, that's why there's a lot of meetings before hand where we kind of say hey look, we think that you can meet an existing zoned district say if the Shoe Factory had the room and could have gone to regular R-3 Regular Multi-Family, we would have recommended that first before they went through the hoops of a Planned District.

The Planned District is meant, we're taking out some requirements here but the process is still the same. It's a rigorous process, there's multiple reviews, you have to have a preliminary review by Council and then you have to come back with finalized plans and have a final set approved by Council. It has to be a pretty significant development that the developers is confident to take their risk in.

**Lamb:** One other example that you had was and it was prior to these code changes in 17 was the MacArthur Park when they redid the Brown Shoe Factory. At that time, we had a Senior Community District at that time we removed that in 2017. It had language very similar to this that allowed that development to go through so, it's kind of reverting back to those unique developments that would have never been able to go ahead and reuse those buildings if we wouldn't have recognized that.

**Maniaci:** That's a good point. It's actually reflecting closer to what would have been R-4 back in 2016.

**Hagedorn:** Other discussion? Do we need a motion?

**Lamb:** No, it's still a Public Hearing so see if the public has any comments.

**Hagedorn:** Anyone in the public care to comment?

**Kari Klenke:** Hi, I'm Kari Klenke. Do you need my address?

**Klekamp:** I have it.

**Kari Klenke:** I just have a couple of questions that I think we need to consider, and I am not an expert in Planning and Zoning by any stretch of the imagination; however, it appears to me that

this is opening it up to let there be less restriction for more high density housing in the City. I just will urge Council to consider what all is involved when you bring in multiple high-density housing developments what that does for the economy. It might bring in people, it might bring in lower income or lower price point housing but, it's just another way of getting around because what you're telling us, what I'm hearing is that the developments that I believe were good MacArthur...

**Lamb:** Park.

**Kari Klenke:** Locust and then the Shoe Factory were positive things but they were still able to do them, it just took more legwork. It sounds to me like this is just opening it up to make it easier for more high-density housing. That's my comment.

**Hagedorn:** Anyone else want to comment? Okay.

With no further discussion, a motion to accept this item into the minutes made by Councilmember Holtmeier, seconded by Councilmember Patke, passed without dissent.

**Bill No. 22-12566, Ordinance No. 22-13522, an ordinance amending Section 400.115 of the Code of the City of Washington, Missouri relating to Planned Development Districts.**

The ordinance was introduced by Councilmember Patke.

With no further discussion, the ordinance was read a second time and approved on the following vote; Wessels-aye, Reed-aye, Patke-aye, Hidritch-aye, Holtmeier-aye, Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-aye.

### **CITIZENS COMMENTS**

- \* Hawkins Farr 2201 Ashton Hills Court, congratulated the new members of the City Council for winning the election and addressed Mayor Hagedorn on a letter that was written.

### **UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

- \* None

### **REPORT OF DEPARTMENT HEADS**

- \* Public Works Director John Nilges updated Council on paving projects. A brief discussion ensued regarding the waterline at North Goodes Mill and South Point Road.

### **ORDINANCES/RESOLUTIONS**

**Bill No. 22-12567, Ordinance No. 22-13523, an ordinance authorizing and directing the execution of a Professional Services Agreement with H3 Studio and the City of Washington, Missouri and amend the 2022 Budget.**

The ordinance was introduced by Councilmember Holtmeier.

After discussion, the ordinance was read a second time and approved on the following vote; Wessels-aye, Reed-aye, Patke-aye, Hidritch-aye, Holtmeier-aye, Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-aye.

**Bill No. 22-12568, Ordinance No. 22-13524, an ordinance authorizing and directing the City of Washington, Missouri to accept the Proposal with Dude Solutions for the purchase of Building and Permitting Software.**

The ordinance was introduced by Councilmember Patke.

After discussion, the ordinance was read a second time and approved on the following vote; Wessels-aye, Reed-aye, Patke-aye, Hidritch-aye, Holtmeier-aye, Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-aye.

**Bill No. 22-12569, Ordinance No. 22-13525, an ordinance authorizing and directing the execution of an Easement Deed by and between the City of Washington, Missouri and Gerald H. and Betty M. Parmentier.**

The ordinance was introduced by Councilmember Holtmeier.

After discussion, the ordinance was read a second time and approved on the following vote; Wessels-aye, Reed-aye, Patke-aye, Hidritch-aye, Holtmeier-aye, Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-aye.

**COMMISSION, COMMITTEE AND BOARD REPORTS**

\* **Preliminary Plat Approval – Highland Meadows Plat 6**

*April 12, 2022*

*Mayor & City Council*

*City of Washington*

*Washington, MO 63090*

*RE: File No. 22-0402 & 0403-Preliminary Plat approval for Highland Meadows Plat 6 & 7*

*Mayor & City Council:*

*At their regularly scheduled meeting of the Planning and Zoning Commission held on April 11, 2022 the Commission voted to recommend approval of the above preliminary plat request with a unanimous vote.*

*Sincerely,*

*Thomas R. Holdmeier*

*Commission Chairman*

After discussion, a motion to accept and approve this item made by Councilmember Patke, seconded by Councilmember Holtmeier, passed without dissent.

**Bill No. 22-12570, Ordinance No. 22-13526, an ordinance approving the final plat of Highland Meadows Plat 6, in the City of Washington, Franklin County, Missouri.**

The ordinance was introduced by Councilmember Patke.

With no further discussion, the ordinance was read a second time and approved on the following vote; Wessels-aye, Reed-aye, Patke-aye, Hidritch-aye, Holtmeier-aye, Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-aye.

\* **Preliminary Plat Approval – Highland Meadows Plat 7**

*April 12, 2022*

*Mayor & City Council*

*City of Washington*

*Washington, MO 63090*

*RE: File No. 22-0402 & 0403-Preliminary Plat approval for Highland Meadows Plat 6 & 7*

*Mayor & City Council:*

*At their regularly scheduled meeting of the Planning and Zoning Commission held on April 11, 2022 the Commission voted to recommend approval of the above preliminary plat request with a unanimous vote.*

*Sincerely,  
Thomas R. Holdmeier  
Commission Chairman*

After discussion, a motion to accept and approve this item made by Councilmember Patke, seconded by Councilmember Holtmeier, passed without dissent.

**MAYOR’S REPORT**

- \* Looking forward to working with everyone.

**CITY ADMINISTRATOR’S REPORT**

- \* None

**COUNCIL COMMENTS**

- \* Discussion on motions and votes regarding ordinances and resolutions.

**CITY ATTORNEY’S REPORT**

Public vote on whether or not to hold a closed meeting to discuss personnel, legal and real estate matters pursuant to Section 610.021 RSMo (2000) passed at 9:07 p.m. on the following roll call vote; Wessels-aye, Reed-aye, Patke-aye, Hidritch-aye, Holtmeier-aye, Behr-aye, Briggs-aye, Coulter-aye.

The regular session reconvened at 9:51 p.m.

**ADJOURNMENT**

With no further business to discuss, a motion to adjourn made at 9:51 p.m. by Councilmember Holtmeier, seconded by Councilmember Wessels passed without dissent.

Adopted: \_\_\_\_\_

Attest: \_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
President of City Council

Passed: \_\_\_\_\_

Attest: \_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor of Washington, Missouri